

**Saint Louis Zoo  
Primate Canopy Trails**

**REQUEST FOR INFORMATION LOG (Solicitation Period)**

| RFI # | SPEC OR DWG                |   | DATE<br>SUBMITTED | DATE<br>ANSWERED |
|-------|----------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------|
| 1     | Sheet A35.501              | Sheet A35.501 on Building Glazing Schedule refers to drawing A35.702. This is not part of the bid set downloaded from the website. Please supply  | 20-Aug-19         | 23-Aug-19        |
|       |                            | PGAV Response: A35.702 is a remnant from earlier in design and did not get issued. The schedule has been updated to reflect the new location of the details (also on A35.501). Refer to RFI-1, SK-1.  |                   |                  |
| 2     | 101400 signage Operational | signage-All of the panels in this job are called out as "encapsulated aluminum graphics." On previous zoo jobs all of the panels were high pressure laminate. Are these panels supposed to be high pressure laminate? or encapsulated aluminum? If they are encapsulated aluminum I need to clarify what this means. I have never hear of encapsulated aluminum. I even google searched the term and nothing came up. Is this a flatbed print on aluminum with a clear coat?  | 21-Aug-19         | 23-Aug-19        |
|       |                            | PGAV Response: Spec 101900 has been updated in its entirety and re-issued with Addendum A. All graphic panels are to be encapsulated dye sublimation to aluminum substrate, containing 97% recycled content. Refer to the exhibits and graphics specifications document released in Addendum A in for further information. Recommended products/fabricators include Gopher Sign Company and Alto Decorative Powder Coating.<br>1.Gopher Sign Company, 1310 Randolph Ave. St. Paul, MN. 651-698-5095. <a href="https://www.gophersign.com/">https://www.gophersign.com/</a><br>2.Alto Decorative Powder Coating, Systeme Huntingdon Inc. (SHI) 1-800-363-5304 Melanie Daigle, 1-800-363-5304 x254 <a href="http://www.altoaluminum.com">http://www.altoaluminum.com</a><br>3.Substitutions: Submit in accordance with Section 016000 - Product Requirements.   |                   |                  |
| 3     | Sheet A10.301              | Is the staircase on A10.301 to be provided by the Play Equipment partner, or just the ability to connect and stairs are provided by 'other'?  | 21-Aug-19         | 23-Aug-19        |
|       |                            | PGAV Response: All items noted as 131430A are intended to be provided by the "play equipment partner". This includes the spiral stair.<br><b>Coodination by the "play equipment partner" with the GC and the site will</b><br>Please advise on the following regarding the "chutes" and walkways at earliest convenience. Notes reference attached drawing.   |                   |                  |
| 4     | Sheet A10.301              | 1) Netted Chutes: There are three (3x) 'chutes' made of netting/rope – 1. between the cylindrical Climber to Pod A; 2. from Pod A to Staircase Pod; and 3. From Pod A to Pod B (Pod B connects to Staircase Pod via rigid walkway). Please confirm.<br><br>2) Enclosed Chutes: Netter Chutes are to be fully enclosed? Or may they be open at the top? More rope bridge w/sides than rope enclosed chute? Please advise.<br><br>3) Elevated Walkway Material: from Pod B to Staircase Pod: Must the bridge/walkway be of a rigid, flat material? Or, are we able to pursue an accessible, inclusive 'flubber' and slat bridge like the attached? I believe we've discussed this, but want to give final direction to design team. Please advise.<br><br>4) Fence at Interpretive Play: Assuming the walkway is a rigid, hard flat material (inquiry #3 above), is the play eqpt manufacturer responsible for applicable fencing noted in the bid documents? | 21-Aug-19         | 23-Aug-19        |

| RFI # | SPEC OR DWG                  |  | DATE<br>SUBMITTED | DATE<br>ANSWERED |
|-------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|
|       |                              | <p>PGAV Response: Keep in mind, we are looking for a cost effective solution to a Human Extension of the Primate Habitats and Chutes that will be reviewed with final approval on all components coming from the Owner.</p> <p>1) Confirmed. However, if the equipment partner has a safe way to navigate between the Climber and Pod A, that chute could be something else or even eliminated.</p> <p>2) Preference on the chutes between Pod A and Pod B, Pod A and Staircase Pod would be to have fully enclosed chutes similar to the flex chutes the Primates would use to navigate from habitat to habitat.</p> <p>3) The intent for the walkway between Pod B and the Staircase Pod is to provide an inclusive/accessible enclosed path, providing a similar overhead experience as the chutes to Pod A. The equipment partner may provide a solution to the "floor surface" of their choice that fits the aesthetic, but it must be inclusive/accessible.</p> <p>4) All components of the walkway between Pod B and the Staircase Pod shall be provided by the "play equipment manufacturer". It is more</p> |                   |                  |
| 5     | Spec 084113<br>Sheet A90.104 | <p>The specs detail the entrances to be Wide style. See section 084313-3 paragraph C. Yet the drawings show a Medium Style detail. See sheet A90.104 details C7,C8,E7 and E8. Also the doors are only 1-3/4" thick. Would you rather prefer a more substantial entrance given the amount of traffic these doors will see. Oldcastle(Vistawall) has two such entrances; Rugged or PerforMax. Both entrances are 2" thick with 3/16" wall thickness.</p>   | 22-Aug-19         | 23-Aug-19        |
|       |                              | <p>PGAV Response: Please provide the medium style to match the drawings/details on A90.104. Please provide with 2" thickness with 3/16" wall.</p>  |                   |                  |
|       |                              |  |                   |                  |